

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/593,969	MAGNONE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ANDREW LAI	2473	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Andrew Lai (Examiner). (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) David Longo (Applicant's Representative). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 September 2010

**Time:** ~19:00

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*24,31-34,39,40,42,43 and 46*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed and discussed an Examiner's Amendments to above identified claims with details and reasons, in order to put the case in condition for allowance. Mr. Longo noted the details of proposed amendments. Mr. Longo, after discussion with Applicant, agreed to and authorized the proposed Examiner's Amendments, resulting in Allowance for the case as detailed in the Notice of Allowance..